

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,482	10/17/2005	Anne Catherine Morales	REGIM 3.3-067	1165
530 7530 103/12/2008 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, DAVID C	
WESTFIELD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) MORALES ET AL. 10/553 482 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DAVID C. THOMAS 1637 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/553,482

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to a method of normalizing products of a reverse transcription reaction of mRNA extracted from different biological samples.

Group II, claim(s) 16-28, drawn to a synthetic messenger RNA (SmRNA), a cDNA obtained from the SmRNA, a vector comprising the a sequence encoding the SmRNA, and a kit comprising the SmRNA, the vector and amplification primers.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group I, drawn to claims 1-15, included many claims which were found to be anticipated by the prior art, specifically, Cleland et al. (Vox Sanguinis (1999) 76, 170-174), which is shown to be an X reference which teaches a method for quantifying the amplification of an RNA target using an unrelated RNA as an internal control that does not compete with amplification of the target RNA (see p. 171, column 2,lines 5-28 and Table 1). As MPEP 1893.03(d) notes "The expression special technical features is defined as meaning those technical features that define the contribution which each claimed invention, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." In the current case, the claims are drawn to a method

Application/Control Number: 10/553,482

Art Unit: 1637

in Group I, but that Group does not make a contribution over the prior art because the invention is anticipated by the prior art. Therefore, there is no single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and the lack of unity requirement is proper.

In addition, should the applicant elect Group I, the applicant is required to select no more than one pair of primer sequences from Groups III below:

Group III, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 1 and 2, representing sequences of SmRNA generic to claim 14.

Alternatively, should the applicant elect Group II, the applicant is required to select no more than one pair of primer sequences from Group III below, no more than one pair of primer or probe sequences from Groups IV below, no more than one pair of cDNA sequences from Group V below, no more than one pair of sequences comprised in a vector from Group VI below and no more than one pair of vector sequences from Group VII below:

Group III, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 1 and 2, representing sequences of SmRNA generic to claim 18.

Group IV, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 5 and 6, representing sequences of primers or a probe generic to claims 19, 20 and 28;

Group V, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 3 and 4, representing sequences of SmRNA generic to claim 22;

Group VI, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 9-11, representing sequences comprised in a vector generic to claim 24;

Group VII, the individual SEQ ID Nos. 7 and 11, representing vector sequences generic to claim 25.

The inventions listed in Groups III-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCR Rule 13.1 because, under PCR Rule 13.2, they lack the same or Application/Control Number: 10/553,482

Art Unit: 1637

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups III and V are drawn to SmRNA sequences while Group IV is drawn to primers and a probe and Groups VI and VII are drawn to vector sequences, with each primer or probe specific for a unique SmRNA sequence, while the vector sequences represent unique sequences inserted at different sites in the vector.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/553,482

Art Unit: 1637

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1637

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David C. Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-

3320 and whose fax number is 571-273-3320. The examiner can normally be reached

on 5 days, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/David C Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 1637 /Kenneth R Horlick/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637